Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3836 /2004

PETITIONER

Smt Dhanayee Bai, widow of Ghanshyam, aged about 36 years, Working as – Peon (Paricharak), Government Veterinary Hospital, Rajpur, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 3839/04
Presented by Shri D.J. Warty
dated 12.10.04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of Veterinary Services (Pashu Chikitsak), Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED COPY 13/10/04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

2. The Director, Veterinary Services, State of C.G., Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. The Deputy Director, Veterinary Hospital, Distt. Raigarh (CG)

शपथ आयुक्त
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट
(छ.ग.)
13/10/04

4. The Collector, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

5. The Veterinary Health Extension Officer, Government Hospital – Lailunga, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

(18)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 3836/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	01-11-2004 **Shri A.N. Bhakta, Counsel for the petitioner.** **Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General with Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State.** **Application for urgent hearing is allowed.** **Heard.** **Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to transfer of the petitioner. He further submits that the B.F.O. has some personal grudge with the petitioner regarding payment of electricity bill. He also submits that the petitioner is a widow lady. He also submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.** **Having considered the facts and circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising her grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 10 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law by a reasoned order as early as possible preferably within six**	

19

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 3836/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved so far. He prays for stay till the representation is decided.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that till the representation is decided, if the petitioner is not relieved, he be allowed to continue. It is made clear that if the petitioner has been relieved, this order will not entitle him to join in the earlier place of posting.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No.4245/2004 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Gowri

GL.PRJ—FS/78 — 9/2000 —50,000.